

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/776,379   | 02/12/2004      | Takahito Kumazaki    | 000866A                 | 3936             |  |
| 23850  | 7590 06/08/2006 | EXAMINER             |                         |                  |  |
| ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP<br>1725 K STREET, NW<br>SUITE 1000<br>WASHINGTON, DC 20006 |                 |                      | RODRIGUEZ, ARMANDO      |                  |  |
|  |                 |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|  |                 |                      | 2828                    |                  |  |
|  |                 |                      | DATE MAILED: 06/08/2006 |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No.   | Applicant(s)    |  |  |
|-------------------|-----------------|--|--|
| 10/776,379        | KUMAZAKI ET AL. |  |  |
| Examiner          | Art Unit        |  |  |
| ARMANDO RODRIGUEZ | 2828            |  |  |
|                   |                 |  |  |

|   | ARMANDO RODRIGUEZ   | 2828  |  |
|---|---|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars n the cover sheet with the d  | orrespondence add   | ress                                       |
| THE REPLY FILED 19 May 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP   |   |   |  |
| 1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:  | the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, affice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply much                | Appeal. To avoid aba<br>idavit, or other evider<br>compliance with 37 C | nce, which<br>FR 41.31; or (3)             |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date   |   |   |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7   | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing  (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE   | g date of the final rejecti   | on.  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orige than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi                     | iate extension fee<br>ce action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed  | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to   | avoid dismissal of th   |  |
| AMENDMENTS  |   |   |  |
| 3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in below  | nsideration and/or search (see NO<br>w);  | TE below);  |  |
| appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a  |   |   |  |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  |   |   |  |
| <ul> <li>4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1</li> <li>5. ☒ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ul>   |   | •   |  |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).  |   |   |  |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:   |   | II be entered and an e  | explanation of                             |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:  |   |   |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |   |   |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>  | d sufficient reasons why the affiday  | vit or other evidence i   | s necessary and                            |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar.  10. The affidavit or other evidence is actually a supplementation.   | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe<br>y and was not earlier presented. S   | al and/or appellant fa<br>see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(                          | ils to provide a<br>1).                    |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   | n of the status of the claims after e   | ntry is below or attack   | nea.                                       |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu  | t does NOT place the application in   | n condition for allowa  | nce because:                               |
| <ul> <li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li> <li>13. ☒ Other: See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ul>  | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N   | No(s)   | 1P2  |
|   |   | 7 100-1-  |  |
|   |   | ARMANDO RODE Primary Examiner   |  |

Art Unit: 2828

Applicati n No. 10/776,379

Continuation of 13. Other: Independent claim 1 has been amended to include claim language pertaining to returning laser light to the amplifying section, such limitation was not originally presented for examination, thereby the newly added limitation raises new issues, which require a new search.